

Raytheon ITSS, Lanham, Maryland

# **Jason and T/P POD**

- **Intercomparison orbits are based on T/P standards and ITRF2000**
- Initial tests show Jason SLR+DORIS orbits are close to T/P accuracy even before tuning
- **DORIS** data contributes more to Jason POD
- Jason SLR measurement modeling and data weighting needs further study

Jason shows weaker SLR, but stronger DORIS tracking



Altimeter crossover and SLR residuals offer an independent measure of orbit accuracy



SLR analysis shows Jason LRA offset and possibly Center of Mass can be corrected



## **ITRF2000**

may warrant additional study





# **TOWARDS THE 1-CM ORBIT GOAL**

Orbit Overlap Difference



**TOPEX/POSEIDON (T/P)** orbits produced at GSFC with a 2-3 cm radial accuracy, have become a standard for other altimeter satellites, and are useful for evaluating orbit improvement strategies. T/P orbits are based on SLR and DORIS tracking.



# Our Preliminary GPS-only Jason POD solutions show very good agreement with SLR+DORIS solutions (1.38 cm RMS for Cycle 9)

Example Jason and T/P SLR+DORIS POD Performance

### **Example Jason POD based on GPS Tracking data analysis**

Analysis shows Jason GPS antenna phase center offset must be corrected GPS-LC Z Antenna Phase Offset Correction Estimated per 30-hr arc



ITRF2000 promises an improvement over the current PODPS CSR95 SLR and DORIS station reference. The small orbit Z-shift is close to the expected POD centering accuracy but may need further study. Improvements to a small number of ITRF2000 stations further improve POD and

### S.B. Luthcke, D.D. Rowlands, F.G. Lemoine

### NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt Maryland

**Jason-1** was injected into the T/P orbit, flying just 72 seconds ahead of T/P for verification. The Mission objective is T/P level accuracy, and goal is to reach 1-cm orbits. SLR, DORIS, and GPS tracking are available.

## **Orbit Improvement**

- **Increasing DORIS weight improves SLR+DORIS POD**
- **ITRF2000** offers an improvement over the CSR95 station positions
- **T/P SLR+DORIS reduced-dynamic POD solutions appear more accurate than GPS solutions (from JPL)**
- T/P SLR+DORIS+Crossover reduced-dynamic orbits appear to be better than 2-cm accuracy
- Simulations indicate 1-cm orbits can be achieved with sufficiently precise and dense tracking



### 38 TOPEX cycles spanning Dec '92 - Jan '94

<b>Solution Strategy</b> (using pgs7727, ITRF2000)		Number	RMS	RMS SL P	RMS	Collinear Altimeter Analysis (adjacent cycle difference (cm))			
Name	Description	cycles	(mm/sec)	(cm)	(cm)	Mean	Standard Deviation	RSS wrt DYN_LD	Orbit Error estimate
DYN_LD	Dynamic SLR+DORIS	38	0.552	3.40	6.24	0.014	8.454		2.5
DYN_LDA	Dynamic SLR+DORIS+Crossover	38	0.553	3.51	6.18	0.011	8.361	1.25	2.2
RED_GPS	Reduced-Dynamic GPS (from JPL)	29				0.178	8.428	0.66	2.4
RED_LD	Reduced-Dynamic SLR+DORIS	38	0.551	3.61	6.20	0.020	8.407	0.89	2.3
RED_LDA <sup>1</sup>	Reduced-Dynamic SLR+DORIS+Crossover	38	0.551	3.03	5.88	0.019	8.263	1.79	1.7





The reduced-dynamic strategy calls for the constrained adjustment of a time series of empirical accelerations, and is only possible with sufficiently precise and dense tracking. GPS, DORIS and altimeter crossover data offer such density.

The example indicates recovered accelerations represent actual mis-modeled forces





	Satellite / POD solutions	Orbit Error RMS (cm)			
	Anticipated Force Model Error (Gravity model improvement)	radial	cross track	along track	
TOPEX	SLR	2.9	5.5	8.2	
	GPS	2.0	3.7	6.0	
	GPS + SLR	1.8	4.5	5.4	
	Perfect Tracking	0.4	0.8	0.2	
JASON	SLR	5.0	5.6	18.0	
	GPS	2.1	3.6	6.1	
	GPS+SLR	1.8	4.9	5.4	
	Perfect Tracking	0.6	0.9	0.3	



**Radial Orbit Difference (cm) RED\_LDA - DYN\_LD** 





### **Overlap Arc Test of Orbit Consistency** 20 TOPEX cycles spanning Dec '92-July '93; 5.6 day arcs with 1 day overlap

RMS	RMS	RMS	RMS orbit overlap difference (cm)				
DORIS (mm/sec)	SLR (cm)	Crossover (cm)	radial	cross- track	along- track		
.547	3.73	5.97	1.04	2.51	4.00		
.547	3.80	5.84	0.80	2.69	3.49		
.546	3.95	5.88	0.60	1.92	2.90		
.544	3.50	5.65	0.82	1.68	2.90		

### **Reduced-Dynamic strategy**