

EVALUATION OF GEOSAT FOLLOW-ON PRECISE ORBIT EPHEMERIS

N.P. Zelensky, B.D. Beckley, Y.M. Wang, D.S. Chinn

Raytheon ITSS, Lanham, Maryland



GFO data promises Poseidon-level accuracy with orbit the dominant error source. Given the sparse nature of SLR tracking and lower (800 km) altitude, achieving 5-cm SLR-based orbits are challenging, ut possible.

Gravity and Macromodel tuned using SLR, Doppler, and altimeter crossover data

Macromodel surface force approximation



tion due to radiation pressure on a flat plate $= -\frac{\Phi A \cos q}{R} [2 (d/3 + r \cos q) \mathbf{n} + (1 - r) \mathbf{s}]$

| - | acceleration (m/s2) | |
|---|--|--|
| = | radiation flux from source | |
| = | surface area of flat plate (m ²) | |
| = | incidence angle (surface normal to sou rce) | |
| = | satellite mass (m) | |
| = | speed of light (m/s) | |
| = | diffuse reflectivity | |
| = | specular reflectivity | |
| = | surface normal unit vector | |
| = | source incidence unit vector | |

Gravity Field Tests

| gravity field | radial orbit error projected from 70x70 | data RMS (cm) combined results over five 10-day arcs | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------|---------------|---------|--|
| | gravity covariance (cm) | TP crossover | TP/GFO crossover | GFO crossover | GFO SLR | |
| JGM3 | 4.97 | 6.17 | 8.45 | 8.51 | 7.42 | |
| EGM96 | 2.61 | 6.14 | 7.71 | 8.27 | 6.97 | |
| PGS7609G ¹ | 2.61 | 6.16 | 7.74 | 8.26 | 6.75 | |
| PGS7728 ² | 1.66 | 6.14 | 7.17 | 7.68 | 5.64 | |
| PGS7727 3 | 1.31 | 6.13 | 7.02 | 7.59 | 5.53 | |
| | | | 0 | | | |

1 PGS7609G 2 PGS7727 3 PGS7727

= EGM96 + TDRSS tracking of GRO, XTE, TRMM, ERBS = PGS7609G + GFO SLR/Doppler, GFO/GFO crossover = PGS7609G + GFO SLR/Doppler, GFO/GFO crossover, TOPEX/GFO crossove

TP-GFO crossovers show geographically correlated GFO orbit error





GFO Macro model Tuning

| Spacecraft Surface Model | Solar Array (SA) Reflectivity Coefficient | SLR Fits Over 32 Dependant Arcs (cm) | SLR Fits Over 57 Independent Arcs (cm) | SLR Fits Over 80 Arcs Total ² (cm) | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| annonball | | 13.23 | 12.88 | 12.99 | |
| priori macro model | .160 | 13.11 | 12.89 | 12.95 | |
| aned SA macro model 1 | .144 | 13.04 | 12.80 | 12.87 | |
| | C. on Handle Provident | - | e jarloti ins 2 54 ignat o | nomedar samadai | |
| | 6.0 | Practic and for holding | - | M and a bigst | |

SLR and Altimeter crossover data are vital for GFO POD Accuracy



GFO Orbit Solution Strategies nominal: 1drag/day, 1cpr/5day; enhanced: 3drag/day, 1cpr/1day Combined nine arcs spanning Jan 6-Feb 13 2000 sir+dop nominal parar sir+dop enhanced parar sir+dop+xover enhanced pa radial overlap

GFO POE orbit solutions use enhanced parameterization

F.G. Lemoine, D.D. Rowlands

NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt Maryland

J.L. Lillibridge

NOAA Laboratory for Satellite Altimetry, Silver Spring Maryland

Adjust GFO data to TOPEX frame

T/P-GFO altimeter crossover data is used to adjust GFO to the T/P frame removing GFO instrumental and POE orbit effects









4-5 cm orbit error relative to T/P

GFO altimeter data available on the GDR appears to be of Poseidon quality





Orbit accuracy limited by non-conservative force models but can be improved using **Reduced-Dynamic**

GFO radial orbit error, estimated at 5-cm, is dominated by non-conservative forces, mostly solar radiation pressure, as indicated by the correlation with B'. Orbit error was seen to increase between 2001-2002 due to extremely high solar activity affecting atmospheric drag.







GFO SSH anomaly wrt GSFC MSS adjusted using TP-GFO crossovers

Januarv 2001

GFO Correction January 2001



Adjusted GFO Sea Surface Height Variability