

An Evaluation of Recent Gravity Models Wrt. Altimeter Satellite Missions

F. G. Lemoine¹, S. B. Luthcke¹, N. P. Zelensky^{2,1}, D. S. Chinn^{2,1},

T. A. Williams^{2,1}, C. M. Cox^{2,1}, B. D. Beckley^{2,1}



¹ Laboratory for Terrestrial Physics, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA. ²Raytheon ITSS, Upper Marlboro, Maryland, USA.

From TOPEX/Poseidon to JASON, Science Working Team Meeting, Arles, France, 18-21 November, 2003

Overview	Grav	
With the launch of CHAMP and GRACE, we have entered a new phase in the history of satellite geodesy. For the first time, geopotential models are now available based almost exclusively on satellite-satellite tracking either with GPS in the case of the CHAMP-based	Gravity Model JGM3 (1995) EGM96 (1996)	M: & (
geopotential models, or co-orbital intersatellite ultra-precise ranging in the case of GRACE. Different groups have analyzed these data, and produced a series of geopotential models (e.g., EIGENIS, EIGEN2, GGM01S, GGM01C) that incorporate the new data. We will compare the performance of these "newer" geopotential models with the standard models now used for computations, (e.g., JGM-3, EGM96, PGS7727, and GRIM5-C1) for TOPEX, JASON, Geosat- Follow-On (GFO), and Envisat using standard metrics such as SLR RMS of fit, altimeter crossovers, and orbit overlaps. Where covariances are available we can evaluate the predicted geographically correlated orbit error. These predicted results can be compared with the Earth-	DGME04 (1996) PGS7727 (2000) GRIM5C1 (2000) EIGENIS (2001) EIGENIS (2002) EIGENSP (2003) GSU021 (2003)	(3 1 1 1 1
fixed differences between dynamic and reduced/aynamic orbits to test the predictive accuracy of the covariances, as well as to calibrate the error of the solutions.	OSU03A (2003) PGS7772P24 (2003) PGS7777B (2003)	1

Gravity Model Summary					
Gravity Model	Max. deg. & order	Description			
JGM3 (1995)	70x70	Update to JGM-2 with TOPEX/GPS, Stella & other satellite data.			
EGM96 (1996)	70x70 (360x360)	Model with new satellite-tracking data, altimetry and surface gravity.			
DGME04 (1996)	70x70	EGM96 tuned for ERS (by TU Delft).			
PGS7727 (2000)	70x70	EGM96 tuned for GFO (by GSFC).			
GRIM5C1 (2000)	120x120	Pre-champ model from GRGS/GFZ.			
EIGEN1S (2001)	115x115	~88 days of CHAMP + other satellites.			
EIGEN2 (2002)	140x140	CHAMP-only model, ~6 months of data.			
EIGEN3P (2003)	140x140	CHAMP-only model, ~3 years of data.			
OSU03A (2003)	70x70	CHAMP-only model (energy method, OSU).			
PGS7772P24 (2003)	99x99	CHAMP-only model, ~87 days of data.			
PGS7777B (2003)	110x110	CHAMP (87 days) + other satellites,			
		including SLR sats, GFO, Jason, Envisat.			
GGM01S (2003)	120x120	Grace satellite-only model.			
GGM01C (2003)	200x200	Grace combination model.			

GSFC SLR/DORIS Dynamic Orbits Jason Cycles 8-24 Npts, Doris = 1,843,968Npts, SLR = 53,272. Npts, Xovers = 64449 RMS. RMS RMS. Doris SLR Kovers cm) cm/s cm

Jason Cycles 8-24	-		
Npts, Doris = 1,82	39,118. Npts	s, SLR = 53	3,237.
Npts, Xovers = 64	1439.		
NB: For GPS-only	v Red-dvn. (Orbits, SLF	L DORIS &
Xovers are Indene	endent	, .	,
For GPS+SI R Re	d-dyn Orbit	s SIR is i	Dependent
and DORIS and X	overs are Ir	danandant	sepenaem,
Gravity	PMS	PMS	PMS
Glavity	Doris	SI R	Xovers
	(cm/s)	(cm)	(cm)
GPS, JGM3	0.419	1.698	5.766
	0.419	1.341	5.750
GPS+SLR, JGM3	0.112		
GPS+SLR, JGM3 GPS, GGM01C	0.420	1.593	5.757
GPS+SLR, JGM3 GPS, GGM01C GPS+SLR,GGM01	0.420 C 0.420	1.593 1.273	5.757
GPS+SLR, JGM3 GPS, GGM01C GPS+SLR,GGM01 GPS, GGM01S	0.420 C 0.420 0.419	1.593 1.273 1.596	5.757 5.739 5.754

GFO Altimeter Time Biases for arcs

from July 30, 2000 to August 25, 2001

GEO Mean altimeter time biases (msecs

PGS7727: 0.772 ± 0.032 PGS7777B: 0.945 ± 0.030

GGM01S: 0.999 ± 0.030 GGM01C: 1.059 ± 0.030

1.059 ± 0.030

GSFC GPS & GPS+SLR

Radial Orbit Error Projections from Gravity Field Error Covariances For Altimeter Satellite Missions





We show the radial orbit error projections for various gravity models. The error projections are calculated via linear theory according to Rosborough [1986], and a ten day cutoff is used in the orbit element perturbations. In general, the CHAMP models that do not specifically include data for a satellite orbit still have errors in the resonance orders, and sometimes for the lower orders due to the influence of the m-daily perturbations. This is true for TOPEX/JASON, GEOSAT/GFO ERS/ENVISAT and ICESAT. None of the CHAMP-only models do well on the GEOSAT/GFO orbit (108° inclination), and the introduction of actual GFO data (SLR & Crossovers) as in PGS7777B is necessary to further reduce the orbit error for this satellite. Of all the pre-CHAMP & GRACE models. GRIM5C1 perform particularly well on the ERS/Envisat orbit. ICESAT, being in a near-polar orbit (94° inclination) like CHAMP, benefits significantly from the addition of the CHAMP data, compared to the pre-CHAMP models such as EGM96 and GRIM5C1. We did not perform the error projections for the GRACE models, GGM01S nd GGM01C, since the error covariances for these models have not been distributed

JASON Orbit Difference Analysis

Orbit differences between the dynamic and reduced dynamic orbits can reveal patterns in the geographically correlated and anti-correated error [Marshall et al., 1995], with the caveat that the reduced-dynamic filter acts at frequencies less than about once cycle per revolution (see spectral plots below). We show below the reduced-dynamic (GPS +SLR) vs SLR/DORIS dynamic orbit differences for three gravity models: JGM-3, GGM01C, and GGM01S. With GGM01S, the ampliude of the geographically correlated error is reduced significantly. GGM01C retains the characteristic (C_{ss}/S_{22}) pattern, albeit at a lower amplitude and a different phase thar JGM3. This degree two, order two pattern could originate directly due to the distribution of the tracking stations, or indirectly through errors in the reference frame.





MEAN, GGM01S: RMS = 2.4 mm.

EGM96

GRIM5C1:

EIGEN1S:

PGS7772p24: 40.9 mm PGS7777B: 35.1 mm

364.5 m

36.6 mm

GEOSAT Follow-On (GFO)

Orbit Determination Summarv

JASON

RMS over 53 arcs from July 2000 to August 2001. Gravity SLR Crossovers (cm) (cm PGS7777B PGS7777B 3.6 6.63 3.59 6.60 New GFO macromodel 3.8 6.67 EIGEN18 9.98 10.50 5.98 5.36 EIGEN2 EIGEN3 7.87 GRIM5 7.14 10.49 11.19 OSU03A GFO arcs are 5-6 days in length, and includ

Doppler, SLR, and altimeter crossovers from GFO The GFO Geophysical Data Records (GDR's) and associated corrections therein were used to form the streams of altimetry and derive the altimeter The following crossover edit criteria crossovers. were applied: (1) Reject all crossovers greater than 25 cm; (2) Reject crossovers where sea surface variability is greater than 20 cm; (3) Bathymetry: Edit crossovers where depth is less than 500 meters; (4) Reject crossovers where fit to polynominal around crossover is > 20 cm. In these arcs, empirica accelerations (opr's) were adjusted once/day along & cross track, and C_d 's were adjusted every eigh hours

GFO SLR RMS of fit: July 2000 - August 2001





GFO XOVER RMS of fit: July 2000 - August 2001



ENVISAT







STDEV, GGM01C: RMS = 10.3 mm.





STDEV, GGM01S: RMS = 10.0 mm.



Periodogram: JASON radial orbit differences JGM3 & GGM01C for cycle 09)

igm0

Periodogram: JASON radial orbit differences (Reduced dynamic GPS+SLR - Dynamic SLR/DORIS, (Reduced dynamic GPS+SLR - Dynamic SLR/DORIS, GGM01C & GGM01S for cycle 09).



or cycle 09

Periodogram: Jason radial orbit differences (Dynamic SLR/DORIS,GGM01C-JGM3

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bruce Haines (JPL) for the JASON/GPS data.

- Jean-Paul Berthias (CNES) and Eelco Doornbos (TU/Delft) for ancillary information pertaining to Envisat
- Linda Gehrmann (RITSS) and Blair Thompson (CU/Boulder) for aid with the CHAMP data analysis
- John McCarthy & Despina Pavlis (RITSS) for SOLVE and GEODYN support.
- NASA Center for the Computational Sciences, NASA GSFC

Gravity	SLR	DORIS
	(cm)	(cm/s)
EGM96	4.75	0.587
PGS7727	4.81	0.590
DGME04	3.64	0.574
EIGEN1S	5.95	0.577
EIGEN3p	5.90	0.584
PGS7772P24	3.49	0.579
PGS7777B	2.02	0.561
GGM01S	2.04	0.561
GGM01C	2.05	0.562



DORIS RMS for ENVISAT Arcs From April 4, to July 7, 2003



The ENVISAT orbit tests used 20 arcs from April 4, 2003 to July 7, 2003. Ir arcs, empirical acceleration were adjusted once/day along & cross and C_d 's were adjusted every track six hours, where the data permitted Arcs stopped and started one hour and after any orbital ers. The CNES supplied oefore maneuvers. nacromodel was applied along with the associated ancillary information The ERS attitude model was applied, with the corrections to the GEODYN ERSATT subroutine pointed out by Eelco Doornbos of TU/Delft.

