A 4-year series of Earth mass changes derived from GRACE and Lageos data

Richard Biancale⁽¹⁾, Jean-Michel Lemoine⁽¹⁾, Sylvain Loyer⁽²⁾, Sean Bruinsma⁽¹⁾, Jean-Charles Marty⁽¹⁾, Félix Pérosanz⁽¹⁾, Georges Balmino⁽¹⁾ ⁽¹⁾CNES/GRGS, 18, avenue Edouard Belin, 31401 Toulouse Cedex 4, France⁽²⁾CLS, parc technologique du canal, 31 Ramonville-Saint-Agne, France⁽²⁾



The computation

orbit arc per m

four satellites a

altitudes illustra

variable gravity

terms of meas

In black: the

gravity field El

In red: the "climatological" monthly model from the 4-yea series;
In green: the solution at the the arc;
In blue: the "bias+drift+anr semi-annual" p model derived years time seri

residuals:

Error assessment of the GRGS GRACE solutions

The error pattern of the GRACE solutions is mainly zonal. The figure below displays the calibrated error of the GRGS solutions in terms of EWH and trend over 4 years.

The stabilization applied to the solutions leads to no perceptible loss of signal, as illustrated by the 'free vs. constrained' comparisons on the right: the stabilization removes most of the noise, but no visible amplitude to the signal in the zones where it is strong.



FREE vs. CONSTRAINED solution in geoid height FREE vs. CONSTRAINED solution in geoid height FREE vs. CONSTRAINED solution in geoid height Introduction in geoid height Constrained RES Difference RES Difference Introduction in geoid height Intro

Impact of time-variable gravity field on POD

on of one onth for t different ttes the me- field in urement	CHAMP, i-day arcs from July 2005 to June 2006	GRACE, 1-day area from July 2005 to June 2006
SEN-	8889 01 2 3 4 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	
or mean derived rs time	ENVISAT, 5-day arcs from July 2005 to June 2006	Jason-1, S-day arcs from July 2005 to June 2006
gravity epoch of	9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0	
ual and eriodic from the 4- es.	$\sum_{a \in a \\ a \neq a \\ a = a \\ a \neq a \\ a \neq a \\ a \neq a \\ a = a \\ a = a \\ a \neq a \\ a = a \\ a = a \\$	