

Calibration and Validation of the Precise Orbits for OSTM – Extending the TOPEX, Jason-1 and Jason-2 Climate Data Record for MSL Studies

F.G. Lemoine¹, N.P. Zelensky², D.D. Rowlands¹, S.B. Luthcke¹, T.A. Pennington², D.S. Chinn², BD. Beckley², M. Ziebart³, A. Sibthorpe³, P. Willis⁴, V. Luceri⁵

¹ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt MD, 20771 USA

² SGT Inc , Greenbelt, MD , USA

³ University College, London, UK

⁴ Institut De Physique Du Globe **De Paris**, France



ABSTRACT

The quality and the precision of the satellite orbit is a critical component of the OSTM mission and provides the central reference frame for the altimeter data. The analysis of OSTM altimeter data and data from TOPEX/Poseidon and Jason-1 requires that the orbits for all three missions be in a consistent reference frame, and calculated with the best possible standards to minimize error and maximize the data return from the 15+ year time series, particularly with respect to the application of measuring global sea level change. We discuss the (1) the validation of the tracking systems on OSTM by processing data from all available tracking systems on the spacecraft (SLR, DORIS, GPS and altimeter crossovers); (2) the production of a consistent set of orbits for TOPEX/Poseidon Jason-1 and the OSTM using updated orbit and geophysical model standards. The quality of the dynamic models and the tracking systems are also assessed.

Extending the TOPEX, Jason-1, Jason-2 accurate and consistent orbit time series

Both MSL studies and Calibration/Validation require consistent orbits across Missions



NEW POD STANDARDS DEVELOPED AND TESTED FOR OSTM

Tests using the latest POD models show progressive improvement of the Jason-1 orbit (Table 1). The culmination of these tests has led to the definition of the latest GSFC POD standards (Table 2), which are consistent with the GDRC. Application of the new standards result in a superior orbit series for both TP (Table 3) and Jason-1 (Figure 3). Difference with the previous nominal_2007 series show 6-mm geographically correlated peaks due to differences in the GGM02C/EIGEN_GL04S1 gravity fields (Figure 4).

| | | Table | 1. New | POD S | tandards | progres | ssive tes | sting | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|--|---|-------------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| test name | | | | | | doris slr | | m) | xover | include | | |
| | Jason-1 SLR/DORIS residual summary cycles 1-21 | | | | rms | mean | rms | rms | new | | | |
| | | | | | | | (mm/s) | | | (cm) | standard | |
| nominal | itrf2005(s)_merged (itrf2000) slr/doris, got0.0 selected oloads | | | | | 0.3976 | -0.073 | 1.519 | 5.730 | | | |
| 2007 | ggm02c, annual 20x20 3-yr grace model, ncep-6hr, got0.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | tides, pre-launch panel & $C_R=1$, lra (-4.9 cm) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| trf2005 | as nominal + slrf | 2005/dpo | d2005 & | complet | te got4.7 old | oads | 0.3979 | 0.086 | 1.508 | 5.732 | yes | |
| lra phase | as $trf2005 + LRA$ | phase m | ap from p | pre-launc | ch measured | . 3- | .3979 | 0.057 | 1.501 | 5.734 | no? | |
| map(cnes) | points; (with rang | e correcti | ion=-5mi | n) | | | | | | | | |
| eigen_gl04s | as $trf2005 + switc$ | ch to eige | n gl04s | | | | 0.3979 | 0.081 | 1.479 | 5.728 | yes | |
| tidal_eop | as eigen_gl04s + 1 | tidal eop | | | | | 0.3978 | 0.076 | 1.435 | 5.724 | yes | |
| tidal_com | as tidal_eop + tida | al CoM (g | got4.7) | | | | 0.3978 | 0.073 | 1.428 | 5.724 | yes | |
| tvg_4yr | as tidal_com + sw | vitch to gi | race annu | al 20x20 |) 4-yr model | 1 | 0.3979 | 0.075 | 1.428 | 5.724 | yes | |
| ecmwf-6hr | as $tvg_4yr + swite$ | ch to ecm | wf-6hr | | | | 0.3979 | 0.075 | 1.428 | 5.724 | yes | |
| cnes_panel | ecmwf-6hr +lates | t CNES r | nacromo | del | | | 0.3979 | 0.056 | 1.411 | 5.738 | no | |
| got4.7 | as ecmwf-6hr + g | ot4.7 20x | 20 tides | | | | 0.3979 | 0.076 | 1.427 | 5.724 | yes | |
| cr_panel | as got4.7 + panel | macromo | odel; tune | c Cr=0.92 | 29 | | 0.3978 | 0.074 | 1.409 | 5.727 | yes | |
| optide | as cr_panel + ocean pole tide | | | | | 0.3978 | 0.069 | 1.404 | 5.727 | yes | | |
| lpod2005 | as optide + lpod2005 (version 10) | | | | | 0.3978 | 0.120 | 1.333 | 5.725 | yes | | |
| std0809 | as lpod2005 + lra phase map estimated using 2-years SLR data | | | | | 0.3978 | -0.041 | 1.324 | 5.725 | yes | | |
| | | | | | | | Table 2 O | | | | | |
| | Table 3. Evaluation of a | new TOPEX | std0809 orbi | ts SLD | | Defere | Table 2. G | | el Standal | ras; Octor | | |
| SLR/DORIS Orbits | 5 | RMS | RMS | SLR mean | Crossover | Refere SLR | SLRF2005 + LPOD2005 (version 10) | | | | | |
| Cycles 1-364 | | (mm/s) | (cm) | (cm) | RMS (cm) | | DPOD2005 | | | | | |
| GDR ITRF2005 SLR-resc | aled (Nominal 2007) | 0.5348 | 1.828 | 0.323 | | Earth tide | Э | IERS2003 | | | | |
| LPOD2005 (Std0809 | 9) | 0.5110 | 1.824 | 0.415 | | Ocean lo | ading | GOT4.7, All stations | | | | |
| 21 TOPEX Cycles | (344-364) | | | | | Tidal Col | A &EOP GOT4.7; VLBI high frequency terms | | | | | |
| ITRF2005 SLR-resc | caled (Nominal 2007) | 0.4682 | 1.553 | 0.198 | 5.526 | EOP | IERS Bulletin A daily (consistent with ITRF2005) | | | | | |
| LF 0D2003 (Sta0809 | | 0.40// | 1.344 | 0.233 | <i>J.J21</i> | Precessi | on / Nutation IAU2000 | | | | | |
| | Figure 3. Jason1 RM | AS SLR res | siduals | | | Gravit | y | | | | | |
| ◆ nom | nominal 2007 Static | | | | | | EIGEN-GL04S | | | | | |
| □ std0 | Time va | | | | | | | rying Linear C20-dot, C21-dot, S21-dot (IERS2003) + 20x20 | | | | |
| ◆ nom | nominal-std0809 moving average diff. Atmos | | | | | | | | neric ECMWF, 50x50@6hrs | | | |
| Tides GOT4.7 20x020 (ocean); IERS2003 (Earth) | | | | | | | | | rth) | | | |
| Satellite Surface Forces and attitude | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Knocke-Ries-Tapley (1988) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | eric drag | ag MSIS86 | | | | |
| | | | | | | Radiatior | n pressure | ΤΟΡΕΧ | Jason-1 | | Jason-2 | |
| | | | | | | | | tuned 8-panel | pre-laun | ch 8- | Jason-1 model | |

Sources of Systematic Orbit Error

Error sources include drift in the reference frame (Figure 5), SLR station-dependent range biases and error in station velocity (Figure 6), possible trends in the CoM station displacement Zcomponent (Figure 7), satellite surface radiation modeling (Figure 8), and observed trends in atmospheric gravity (Figure 9), which if not modeled may cause systematic orbit error directly or affect the realization of the next ITRF.

Figure 6. Evidence of SLR station bias / position error, the LPOD2005 solution, and affect on the Jason-1 orbit.

Jason1 Mean SLR residuals

Jason1 cvcle

- Mean Z

Figure 7. Wu CoM series and effect on Jason-1 SLR/DORIS orbit

SLR/DORIS: (Cr=1) - (Cr=0.914)

| Table 3. Evaluation | of new TOPEX | std0809 orbi | ts | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| TOPEX SLR/DORIS Orbits Cycles 1-364 | DORIS RMS (mm/s) | SLR RMS (cm) | SLR mean (cm) | Altimeter Crossover RMS (cm) |
| GDR | 0.5348 | 2.210 | 0.323 | |
| ITRF2005 SLR-rescaled (Nominal 2007) | 0.5111 | 1.828 | 0.347 | |
| LPOD2005 (Std0809) | 0.5110 | 1.824 | 0.415 | |
| Subset Analysis: 21 TOPEX Cycles (344-364) | | | • | |
| ITRF2005 SLR-rescaled (Nominal 2007) | 0.4682 | 1.553 | 0.198 | 5.526 |
| LPOD2005 (Std0809) | 0.4677 | 1.544 | 0.255 | 5.521 |

Jason-2

Application of External Attitude Data (quaternions) improves orbit performance

SLR/DORIS residuals show improvement with use of external attitude information. However, a comparison of the external/internal Euler angles shows only small differences This will be further investigated.

Tuning the C_R, LRA and DORIS antenna offsets

Cycles 1-7 SLR/DORIS data were used to tune the C_R, LRA and DORIS antenna offsets as shown below. The new C_R significantly reduces the amplitudes of the estimated 1 cpr accelerations. Surprisingly the DORIS offset adjusts 13.5 cm in Z. Using the new offset, both the DORIS and SLR residuals improve, including the independent SLR-residuals to a DORIS-only orbit. More cycles are needed to confirm the new offset estimate.

Jason-2 Estimated Solar Radiation Pressure Coefficient (C_R)

DORIS

The latest advance in DORIS receiver technology promises significant benefit for Jason-2 POD. The strength of this dataset dominates the dynamic solutions and significantly improves orbit accuracy in the reduced-dynamic. The residua plot below suggests a tuned phase map can benefit DORIS data processing.

DORIS residuals 1°x1° satellite azimuth / elevation (20°-90°) bins cycles 1-7

Estimated DORIS time bias using SLR/DORIS data

-Jason-1 Jason-2

ORBIT EVALUATION

Orbits from JPL, CNES, and GSFC are directly compared and evaluated by computing DORIS, SLR, and Altimeter Crossover residuals. DORIS appears to drive POD when present.

| Jason2 orbit evaluation | doris | slr (| xover | |
|---|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| cycles 1-6 | rms | mean | rms | rms |
| | (mm/s) | | | (cm) |
| jpl_gpsr_rlse08a (gps-only) | 0.3831 | 0.083 | 1.404 | 5.505 |
| cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v00 (gps,slr,doris) | 0.3827 | -0.163 | 1.518 | 5.544 |
| cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v01 (gps, <u>slr,doris</u>) | 0.3825 | -0.060 | 1.147 | 5.544 |
| gsfc slr+doris_tune01 dynamic | 0.3827 | -0.043 | 1.222 | 5.551 |
| gsfc slr+doris_tune01 reduced-dynamic | 0.3820 | -0.113 | 1.209 | 5.484 |

| | a-priori | estimated | | | standard deviatior | | new value | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| C _R | 1.00000 | -(| 0.08394 | | 0.01660 | | 0.916 | | |
| Iason-2 Ca | onter of Mass | | v | | V | | 7 | | |
| (m) c | (m) cycles 1-7 | | | 0. | y 0001 | 0.0 | 011 | | |
| | Jason-2 Estima | ted Lase | r Retro-r | eflector | Array O | ffset | | | |
| SLR/DORIS cy | veles 1-7; -4.9 cr | n range o | correction | n (extern | al attitud | le; std08(| 9 models) | | |
| | | es | timated | | standard | | | | |
| LKA offset (m) | a-priori | <u> </u> | crement | | deviation | | new value 1.188 | | |
| | 0 598 | - |) 00019 | | 0.00179 | | | | |
| Z | 0.6838 | | .00084 | | 0.00172 | | 0.6846 | | |
| | Jason-2 Esti | mated DO | ORIS An | tenna Pl | ase Offs | et | | | |
| DORIS antenna | R/DORIS cycle | es 1-7; (e: | xternal a timated | ttitude; | standard | nodels) I | | | |
| offset (m) | a-priori | in | crement | | deviation | | new valu | 9 | |
| X | 1.194 | -(| 0.00191 | | 0.00254 | | 1.192 | | |
| Y | -0.598 | 0 | .00626 | | 0.00237 | | -0.592 | | |
| Ζ | 1.022 | 0 | .13498 | | 0.00254 | | 1.157 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ason2 Model Tunin | g Evaluation | | | doris | | slr (| cm) | XO | |
| esidual summary cy | cles I-/ | | rms | tir. | ne bias | mean | rms | (c | |
| external attitude; std | J809 models | | (mm/s |) | (µs) | | | | |
| Ir/doris | | | 0.2(0) | 0 | 2.25 | 0.000 | 1 101 | _ | |
| iominal (stau809) | 016 | | | 9. 1. | -2.35 | -0.089 | 1.191 | 3. 3 | |
| $u_{cr.}$ as $1011+Cr=0$ | .910 | | 0.308 | 1 · | ·2.34 | -0.039 | 1.130 | 3. 5.6 | |
| $1a01$ as $1d_{c1} + est$. | doris entenno | offe | 0.308 | | 2.41 | -0.055 | 1.13/ | 3. 5.4 | |
| 10101 as $10_{-}01 + est$ | $\frac{1}{1}$ t $\frac{1}{2}$ | offs | 0.301 | | 2.19 | -0.044 | 1.121 | 5.5 5.4 | |
| $\frac{11001}{11001}$ as $10_{-01} + 0.05$ | | 0115 | 0.301 | | · <u>2.2</u> 4 | -0.020 | 1.102 | J., | |
| iominal | | | | | (| | 0.936 | 5.7 | |
| lr cr: as nom+ Cr=(|).916 | | | | | -0.001 | 0.886 | 5.7 | |
| ra01: as slr_cr +est l | ra offset | | | | | 0.015 | 0.863 | 5.7 | |
| loris-only | | | | | | | | | |
| ominal | | | 0.368 | 9 | | -0.4 | 3.6 | 5.5 | |
| lor_cr: as nom+ Cr= | 0.916 | | 0.367 | 7 | | -0.5 | 3.5 | 5.5 | |
| lor01: as dor cr + est. doris antenna offs. | | | 0.360 | 8 | | -0.1 | 2.7 | 5.5 | |
| Ja | son-2 orbit dif | ference s | ummarv | cvcles 1 | -7: mode | el tuning | | | |
| Difference = (none-te | F | RMS (cm) | | Mean (cm) | | | | | |
| | | radial | cross | along | X | Y | Ż | rac | |
| | | | track | track | | | | | |
| new doris offset: slr+ | doris orbit | 0.07 | 0.60 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | (| |
| ew doris offset; dori | s orbit | 0.10 | 3.21 | 0.59 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | _(| |
| new Cr. slr+doris orh | it | 0.24 | 0.74 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | (| |

combined; slr+doris orbit

| Jason-2 orbit difference summary cycles 1-7 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|--|--|
| GSFC / CNES orbits | RMS (cm) | | | Mean (cm) | | | | | |
| | radial | cross | along | Х | Y | Z | radial | | |
| | | track | track | | | | | | |
| test - cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v00 (gps ,slr,doris) | | | | | | | | | |
| slr-only | 1.76 | 2.47 | 7.49 | 0.18 | -0.14 | -1.34 | 0.10 | | |
| doris-only | 1.23 | 6.08 | 3.75 | 0.18 | -0.19 | -0.36 | 0.10 | | |
| slr+doris | 1.22 | 2.94 | 3.49 | 0.07 | 0.07 | -0.44 | 0.10 | | |
| slr+dor_tune01_dynamic | 1.27 | 1.59 | 3.51 | 0.08 | 0.17 | -0.64 | 0.06 | | |
| slr+dor_tune01_reduced-dyn | 1.00 | 1.61 | 3.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.47 | 0.06 | | |
| test - cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v01 (gps | ,slr,dor | is) | | | | | | | |
| slr+dor_tune01_reduced-dyn | 0.86 | 1.66 | 3.03 | -0.02 | -0.1 | 0.21 | 0.06 | | |
| cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v00 | 0.77 | 0.51 | 2.03 | -0.07 | -0.16 | 0.68 | 0.00 | | |
| test - jpl_gpsr_rlse08a (gps-only) | | | | | | | | | |
| slr+dor_tune01_reduced-dyn | 0.95 | 1.89 | 3.08 | -0.34 | 0.40 | -0.25 | 0.04 | | |
| cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v01 | 1.10 | 1.39 | 2.81 | -0.31 | 0.52 | -0.54 | -0.02 | | |
| cnes_ldg_gdrcp_v00 | 1.11 | 1.31 | 2.98 | -0.37 | 0.36 | 0.19 | -0.03 | | |

Conclusions & Future Work

We have delivered a consistent time series of our latest and most accurate SLR/DORIS orbits for TP, Jason-1, and OSTM.

We will investigate use of GPS data for OSTM POD, and refine OSTM model tuning.

Future analysis, as well as model and solution strategy improvements will be made in order to further reduce the orbit uncertainties. The success, in large part, will depend on the continued diligence and cooperation of the OSTM POD Team members: CNES, NASA GSFC, JPL, UT CSR.

Contact Information:

Frank Lemoine NASA / GSFC Code 698 Phone: 301-614-6109 email: Frank.G.Lemoine@nasa.gov

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge the International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS) for their support of Jason-1 & Jason-2, especially during the calibration phase; The new LPOD (SLR) solutions were developed by John Ries (UT/CSR); The DPOD2005 (DORIS) solutions are from P. Willis et al. (2008).

0.28 1.09 0.62 0.02 -0.09 0.05 0.04

