Initial On-orbit Performance Assessment of the Advanced Microwave Radiometer and Performance of JMR GDR-C

Shannon Brown, Shailen Desai, Wenwen Lu

Jet Propulsion Laboratory

Shannon.T.Brown@jpl.nasa.gov





- Update to JMR GDR-C from GDR-B
- AMR Performance Assessment
 - Improvements to AMR
 - Comparison with JMR GDR-C
 - ARCS and plans forward
 - <u>A</u>utonomous <u>R</u>adiometer <u>C</u>alibration <u>System</u>





- GDR-C
 - Implemented time-variable calibration coefficients with new coefficients once per cycle
 - Adjusted PD algorithm coefficients to remove scale error
 - error in coefficients carried over from an error in the post-launch calibration of the TMR
- Largest changes in GDR-C are in 34.0 GHz channel, so there is little change in PD time series from GDR-B to GDR-C
 - GDR-C biased by ~4mm drier from GDR-B due to correction of scale error
- Biggest impact of GDR-C is correction of scale error and removal of sigma0 drift





- Drift in 34.0 GHz channel after cycle 70 not accounted for in GDR-B calibration
 - Minimal effect on PD
- Shows up as drift in JMR derived Sigma0, which results in a SSH drift





(cycles 1-215)

GDR-C Path Delay Comparisons





150

100 Jason-1 Repeat Cycle 200

PL

-0.015

-0.018

0

50



Jason-2 Radiometer Improvements

- Several significant improvements made to radiometer on Jason-2
 - AMR Advanced Microwave Radiometer
- 1 meter, unblocked reflector to improve coastal resolution and reduce geographically correlated errors
 - Compared to partially blocked 0.6 m reflector for JMR/TMR
- Active thermal control to improve calibration stability
- Significant reduction in 1Hz measurement noise
- Improved land flagging algorithm





Jason-2 AMR

1

JMR











- Spatial resolution nearly doubled from TMR and JMR
 - ~26 km for AMR compared to ~50 km for JMR/TMR
- 98-99% of energy received within 75 km of boresight, compared to about 92-93% for TMR/JMR





New AMR Coastal PD Algorithm

- New mixed pixel path delay algorithm developed for AMR to more accurately retrieve PD in coastal zone
- RMS error below 1.2 cm to within 5km from coast for new algorithm
- See talk and poster in "Instrument Processing" splinter for more information





IGDR "Day 1" Calibration JMR-AMR

OSTST, Nice France 10-12 November 2008

- AMR "Day 1" calibration had only small residual biases between JMR and AMR TBs
 - +0.4 K at 18.7 GHz
 - - 0.4 K at 23.8 GHz
 - +0.1K at 34.0 GHz

•Translates into 0.45 cm of PD bias between JMR and AMR on current IGDRs (AMR wetter)

-3 mm standard deviation between JMR and AMR

•AMR calibration has been tuned in preparation for GDRs



10





- Instabilities in JMR observed after safehold
 - Periodic 1K shifts in JMR 23.8 GHz cold T_Bs
- Small < 0.1K drift in AMR observed over first 2 months of mission
- 0.5 K offset shift in AMR 34.0 GHz channel mid-way through September – will be accounted for in ARCS processing







- Instabilities in JMR observed after safehold
 - Periodic 5mm shifts in PD
- Small << 1mm drift in AMR observed over first 2 months of mission
- Shift in AMR 34.0 GHz channel translates into < 1mm of PD error
 - Will be corrected prior to GDR production by ARCS processing







Regional AMR-JMR TB Comparisons

- Regional comparisons show good agreement between JMR and AMR
- Systematic biases arise in part from residual antenna pattern correction errors and from different sensor resolutions
 - Evident in southern hemisphere oceans
 - Also over Antarctic ice sheets and over land
- Plan to use AMR to correct JMR and TMR APC residual errors





Regional AMR-JMR Geophysical Comparisons

OSTST, Nice France 10-12 November 2008



- No significant regional biases between JMR and AMR
- Some residual error due to deficiencies in JMR antenna pattern correction
 - JMR APC will be improved using AMR data







14



JPL ARCS



- Autonomous Radiometer Calibration System (ARCS) will perform end-to-end system calibration for AMR
 - Implemented at JPL
 - Will be used to operationally monitor calibration and detect and correct changes prior to GDR production
 - Additional functionality will be used for detailed off-line calibration for GDR updates
- ARCS v1 uses a weighted combination of path delay and TB residuals to determine is recalibration is needed
 - Uses current GDR processing cycle + future data
 - Only uses TBs to recalibrate
 - PD comparisons used for detection and validation only
- ARCS v1 intelligence tested with JMR data



Ocean Surface Topography Mission

- ARCS v1 run on 6 years of JMR data
 - Recalibrated a total of 26 times out of 206 cycles tested
- Significant improvement observed with ARCS turned on (blue line)
 - Long term drift eliminated with ARCS



Wind Speed Residuals







- AMR is meeting performance expectations
- JMR GDR-C removes sigma0 drift, but some instability observed after recent safehold
- ARCS implemented for AMR will maintain stable calibration on Jason-2 GDRs
- Future plans and outstanding issues:
 - Add AMR coastal PD product to Jason-2 GDRs
 - Work on applying coastal algorithm to JMR/TMR coastal ongoing
 - Use AMR to recalibrate APC for JMR and TMR
 - Potential 1-sec offset in JMR/AMR time tag (pointed out by G. Quartly and R. Scharroo after recent JMR S/C anomaly)
 - Update/Improve radiometer flags (radiometer specific rain/ice flag)
 - Address Jason-1 post-safehold instabilities