Jason-2 waveforms, tracking and retracking analysis

P.Thibaut, J.C.Poisson, A.Ollivier, S.Philipps, M.Ablain

CLS

Nice, France November 2008





Jason-2 performances wrt to Jason-1



→ Very good agreement between J1 and J2 over ocean

→ For Jason-2, results not impacted by tracking modes







Differences between Jason-1 and Jason-2 waveforms

→ Due to telemetry rate, Jason-1 waveforms are compressed



Waveforms in deep ocean



WAVEFORMS

Waveforms over lakes

• Cycle 1 : SGT

• Cycle 2 : Median

Pass 131 - Issykul Lake - Kirghzistan – Cycle 1, 2 and 3 Jason-2

N42.85 • Cycle 3 : Diode/MNT N42.75° N42.65° N42.55 N42.45° 76.9° E77.1° E77.3° E77.5° E77.9° E77.7° E78.1° N42.35° N42.25° N42.15° N42.0 Google





Waveforms over lakes



Latitude

J1/J2 Waveforms Retracking scheme







Impact on C band results



Jason-2 Mean Quadratic Error in C band





Jason-1/Jason-2 Spectra

SSALTO/DUACS Power Spectrum







Jason-1/Jason-2 comparison on coastal zones : P187 on med. sea



COLLECTE LOCALISATION SATELLITES

Jason-1/Jason-2 comparison on coastal zones : P33 over Greece





Tracking anomaly

cnes

Cycle 4, Pass 187







Tracking anomaly

cnes

Cycle 2, Pass 187





Tracking anomaly

- ➔ Only in median tracking mode (not in DIODE/DEM)
- ➔ Over land but sometimes remains over ocean for some seconds
- ➔ Concerns some very small segments per cycles
- → No impact on data quality. These data are edited by Calval quality criteria
- → under investigation on CNES/CLS and Thales side





Impact on Rain flag

- On Jason-1, Rain Flag determined using the Ku/C AGC relation
- > No more valid on Jason-2, because different from J1
- Ku/C Sigma0 relation cannot be used as for J1 GDR A (MLE3)
- Under investigation





Conclusions

- Very good results wrt Jason-1
- Some points are under analysis (DEM to be updated, ...)
- No need of more data (more cycles) for the WF, tracking and retracking analysis





Thank you !



