Cal/Val & Analysis over ice sheets

A. Michel (1,2), A. Ollivier (1), F. Rémy (2) Saral/AltiKa NRT Verification Workshop 27-28-29 August 2013 (1) CLS (2) LEGOS

> SARAL 1st Verification Workshop 27-28-29th August 2013 aurelie.michel@legos.obs-mip.fr / amichel@cls.fr

04/09/2013

Plan

- Introduction : Scientific objectives and scientific tools
- Global Cal/Val over ice sheets : some results
 - Editing
 - Missing Points
 - Temporal surveys
 - Statistics
 - Perspectives and intermediate conclusion
- Preliminary analysis over Antarctica from first SARAL/AltiKa cycles
 - Comparison with Ku-band Envisat
 - Comparison with Icesat
- General perspectives
- Conclusion

Scientific objectives

Cryosphere is a witness and a player in the world climate Estimate volume balance Contribution to the sea-level rise **Snowpack properties** Get a dense data record = dense monitoring = accurate analysis Importance of a continuity in the observations : altimetry

Scientific objectives : tools from altimetry

Apprehend a new frecuency (Ka-band) and understand the former one (Ku-band)
Adapt the Ice-2 retracking to Ka-band : (J-C. Poisson, D. Blumstein orals)
Ameliorate the waveform analysis : Interaction between the radar wave and the snowpack

Global Cal/Val over ice sheets

 Get efficient tools adapted from ocean altimetry to have a dense validated data set : accurate analysis and long-term monitoring

Chain developed (CLS) completed with LEGOS expertise : Dense processing : for the waveform parameters (Height, Leading Edge, Backscatter, Trailing Edge)

* Editing parameters (flags, thresholds...)

* Corrections (Dry troposphere, Wet troposphere, Earth Tide, AGC ...)

* Others (Tracking Mode, Ascending, Descending Passes...)

* Maps, Histograms, Statistics : mean, variance, minimum, kurtosis ...

Editing

- We isolate specific areas
- Altimeter height is
- corrected
- (instrumental, atmospherical)
- We reject outliers
- We can analyse rejected points
- Ameliorate the validation process

AltiKa Cycle 001 (14/03/2013 / 18/04/2013)





-2

-4

SARAL 1st Verification Workshop 27-28-29th August 2013 aurelie.michel@legos.obs-mip.fr / amichel@cls.fr 0

Editing

- We isolate specific areas
- Altimeter height is
- corrected
- (instrumental, atmospherical)
- We reject outliers
- We can analyse rejected points
- Ameliorate the validation process

AltiKa Cycle 001 (14/03/2013 / 18/04/2013)



-2

-4

0

Missing points



Missing Measurements



Missing Measurements



Missing Measurements

Cycle 1 (DEM mode) Cycle 2 Cycle 2 Cycle 3 Cycle 2 Cycle 3 Cycle 3

Temporal survey : Antarctica







Height

Backscatter

Leading Edge Width

9

- Geographical, geophysical, instrumental analysis
- Maps for corrections as well

Temporal survey : Greenland



2013 aurelie.michel@legos.obs-mip.fr / amichel@cls.fr

Statistics



Seasonal variability observable eventually or instrumental events noticeable (illustrated)

No large variability throughout the first 5 months : even for the leading edge width

 But also moments by cycles, by pass, differences between cycles...

Perspectives for the « Ice Cal/Val »

Residuals with Envisat : → maneuver the 29th of July 2013 (2.5km before, 1.5 km nowadays)
 Crossovers (F.Remy presentation)

Thanks to methods from ocean altimetry, we have an efficient monitoring

Analysis of first SARAL/AltiKa cycles

Envisat Icesat comparisons : focus on Antarctica

Comparison with Ku-band



Probably less subsurface echo ... but also a different surface echo...

Comparison with Ku-band

3.5

3

2.5

1.5

0.5

Leading Edge Mean Profile (m)



Leading Edge Width Saral 2nd cycle (meters)



About 1m lower → Less penetration effect

Envisat/Icesat difference evolution

Difference Envisat Icesat in meters cycle 20 campaign 2



Iarge variability = geophysical ongoing studies

Envisat/Icesat

Moments of the difference between Envisat and Icesat depending on the slope range Mean Rms Mediane Moments of the differences (m) --2° 0 Slope (m/km)

AltiKa / Envisat



Icesat / AltiKa

Moments of the difference Icesat AltiKa depending on the slope range Mean Rms Mediane Moments (m) 5 -6 -8° 0 2 3 5 6 8 9 10 Slope (m/km) Antenna gain

Premilinary analysis

- Coherence at the first order between scales :
 H_Env < H_Ice , H_Env < H_Ka , H_Ka < H_Ice
- Importance of the slope effect with the antenna aperture
- Study the difference AltiKa/Envisat temporally and link it with the waveform parameters (over Antarctica with Envisat/Icesat article in prep)

Global perspectives

- Develop the finer scale resolution
- Monitor the SARAL/AltiKa data as long as it is provided
- Comparison with other missions (Cryosat)
- Other surfaces (sea ice, river ice?)

Conclusion

- Optimized tool for altimetry analysis and algorithms enhancement (PEACHI project)
- Optimized for ice sheets studies
- The ongoing analysis done with AltiKa will allow us to understand Ku-band as well

Thanks for your attention

Questions ?

ARAT 1s automication Workshop 27-28 29th August 2013 aurelie.michel@legos.obs-mip.fr / amichel@cls.fr

04/09/2013