



Optimization of the Ice-2 algorithm for the AltiKa waveform retracking over ice sheets

<u>CLS:</u> JC. Poisson, S. Peyridieu, P. Thibaut, G. Valladeau <u>LEGOS:</u> F. Remy, D. Blumstein



http://www.cls.fr



Objectives of this study

 As Ice-2 was implemented in the SARAL ground segment with ENVISAT settings, several limitations in the estimates have been identified in AltiKa products.



The Ice-2 quality flag is NOK mainly on steep terrain. Most of the time over these areas, the thermal noise is strong (~ 30-40 FFTpu)

AltiKa CalVal meeting 2013/08/28



Origin of the limitations

- Page 3
- On slopping terrain, the returned power is weak because the signal comes from off-nadir reflection (attenuation due to the antenna pattern)



http://www.cls.f



Origin of the limitations

On slopping terrain, the returned power is weak because the signal comes from off-nadir reflection (attenuation due to the antenna pattern)
 → AGC increases the waveform amplitude and so the thermal noise



THN_ICE2 (count)

0	5	10	15	20	25
Nbr	2	009254 Std Dev :	24.834501	Min :	0
Mea	:: 9.5	126618 Median :	2.06741	Max :	1290.6679



AGC (count)



AltiKa CalVal meeting 2013/08/28

Page 4

http://www.cls.t



The Ice-2 algorithm

Principle: The Ice-2 algorithm divides the waveform into 4 estimation windows:



The outputs estimates are:

- Thermal noise
- Epoch
- SigmaL (Width of the LE)
- Amplitude
- Slope of the first part of the TE
- Slope of the second part of the TE
- Mean Amplitude
- Mean Slope
- Quality flags

Historically this algorithm was designed and configured to process Ku-Band waveforms → It is therefore necessary to optimize the algorithm to process Ka waveforms.

http://www.cls.fr



Studied optimizations

- In the frame of the PEACHI project, which aims to improve the ground processing in coastal and hydrological areas and high latitudes, we have studied 3 different improvements of the Ice-2 algorithm :
 - Test 1: A new set of Ice-2 parameters → the easiest modification to implement in the AltiKa ground segment
 - 2. Test 2 : A new set of Ice-2 parameter + an improvement in the fit of the LE
 → induced a source code modification
 - 3. Test 3 : A new set of Ice-2 parameter + an improvement in the fit of the LE with a different normalization → induced a source code modification
- The purpose of these 3 tests are:
 - 1. At least, not degrade the Ice-2 performances when the quality flag is OK
 - 2. Increase the number of retracked measurements (especially on slopping terrain)
 - 3. Bring improvements in the leading edge estimation



Results: Nb of retracked waveforms

AltiKa Cycles 2-3

umber of samples per grid box diff. ICE2 TEST



AltiKa Cycles 2-3

umber of samples per grid box diff. ICE2 TEST

Nbr :	109440	Std Dev :	10.594173	Min :	-59	Nbr :	109440	Std Dev :	12.1164	Min :	-3	Nbr :	109440	Std Dev :	12.114675	Min :	-3
Mean :	3.092955	Median :	0	Max :	331	Mean :	3.6937591	Median :	0	Max :	381	Mean :	3.6929368	Median :	0	Max :	381

 The difference is positive for each test case, meaning that we retrieve more samples using the new Ice-2 parameters. Test 2 retrieves the more measurements than the others.

http://www.cls.fr

AltiKa CalVal meeting 2013/08/28

40

AltiKa Cycles 2-3

umber of samples per grid box diff. ICE2 TEST



Results: MQE



The MQE of test 2 is the lowest MQE. The MQE of tests 1 and 3 is slightly higher.



little bit higher.

Results: MQE





-0.4

Nbr

Mean :

Results: Epoch



Test 2: The same areas previously identified with a higher MQE also appear to be weaker AltiKa CalVal meeting 2013/08/28



Results: SigmaL (width of LE)





AltiKa Cycles 2-3

AltiKa Cycles 2-3

Mean, SIGL Diff. ICE2TEST3 - ICE2





	DiffSIGC_ICE2TEST_ICE2					C_ICE2TEST	T2_ICE2		DiffSIGC_ICE2TEST3_ICE2			
1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0 -1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0 -1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.5	1.0

[57505	0.1 D	0.45455450		5 01 001 01	Nhr.	57600	Std Day .	0 47404072	Min .	7 2600905
	Nbr:	57525	Std Dev :	0.4/1//1/8	Min :	-7.2133194	INDI :	37000	Sur Dev :	0.47404075	MIIII :	-7.2009095
	Mean :	-0.066939812	Median :	-0.019620466	Max :	6.7912824	Mean :	-0.16591293	Median :	-0.091750598	Max :	7.2592824
- 1												

Nbr :	57600	Std Dev :	0.48755103	Min :	-7.4014948
Mean :	-0.060177835	Median :	-0.0024825105	Max :	6.7912824

- The SigmaL is greater over steep areas in each test case compared to Ice-2 products. This is mainly due to the retrieved waveforms. Geophysical validation is in progress at LEGOS.
- Test 2: The same areas previously identified with a higher MQE also appear weaker.

http://www.cls.fr



- The 3 tests can retrieve a lot of measurements previously rejected by Ice-2.
- The MQE seems to be better with test 2 whereas test 1 and 3 have a MQE slightly higher on reliefs.
- The test 1 is the easiest to implement into the ground segment. Tests 2 and 3 require a modification of the source code of the algorithm.
- A cycle of AltiKa data has been reprocessed for each version of the Ice-2 retracking and the outputs have been provided to LEGOS for deeper analysis.
- After evaluation by the LEGOS team, the most efficient solution will be chosen.



Thank you for your attention !

