

Envisat GDR Quality Assessment Report

Cycle 046

13-03-2006 / 17-04-2006

Prepared by :	Y. Faugere, CLS	
	F. Mertz, CLS	
	J. Dorandeu, CLS	
Accepted by :	J. Dorandeu, CLS	
Approved by :	N. Picot, CNES	







SALP-RP-P2-EX-21121-CLS046 Edition 01.0, July 2006

1 Introduction. Document overview

The purpose of this document is to report the major features of the data quality from the ocean Envisat mission. The document is associated with data dissemination on a cycle by cycle basis.

The objectives of this document are :

To provide a data quality assessment To provide users with necessary information for data processing To report any change likely to impact data quality at any level, from instrument status to software configuration To present the major useful results for the current cycle

It is divided into the following topics:

General quality assessment and cycle overview CALVAL main results Long term performance monitoring Particular investigations

2 Cycle overview

2.1 Data and software version

This cycle has been produced with the IPF processing chain V5.02 and the CMA Reference Software V7.1_07.

The content of this science software version is described in a document available on the ESA PCS web site ([2]). The main impacts of these evolutions on the SSH are described in section Impact of CMA version 7.1 for the SSH calculation (page 4).

2.2 Parameters

The parameters used to compute the sea surface height (SSH) for Envisat are:

- Ku range (ocean retracking)
- POE orbit
- Dual frequency ionospheric correction
- MWR derived wet troposphere correction
- ECMWF dry tropospheric correction
- Non parametric sea state bias
- MOG2D
- Total geocentric GOT00 ocean tide height
- Geocentric pole tide height
- Solid earth tide height

2.3 Warnings and recommendations

Passes 1 to 568 and passes 737 to 1002 are impacted by the USO anomaly. This quality assessment has been performed using the USO correction provided by ESA. Users are strongly advised not to use the range parameter in Ku and S Band without this correction. (see section 5).

76 passes are missing due to level B data unavailability (see section 3.1).

6 passes have no radiometer correction (see section 3.3).

27 passes are impacted by the S-Band anomaly (see section 3.3).

2.4 Platform and instrument events

RA-2 switch to STBY and back to measurement to get useful telemetry related to USO (2006/03/17 12:04:00 to 2006/03/17 13:26:00,104 to 107)

Orbit Inclination Manoeuvre (2006/03/28 05:33:20 to 2006/03/28 05:52:11 TAI)

Payload anomaly DORIS MVR switch off (no data from) $(2006/04/06\ 02:09:00$ to $2006/04/08\ 12:40:00$ TAI)

RA2 back to operations following TM format anomaly $(2006/04/06\ 12:31:00$ to $2006/04/08\ 12:31:00,664$ to 735)

Doris Doppler Instrument nominal mode with median frequency bandwith pre-positionning (required for DORIS incident recovery) $(2004/04/08\ 12:40:00$ to $2006/04/14\ 09:00:00$ TAI) Payload anomaly DORIS Reset $(2006/04/14\ 09:00:09)$

2.5 Cycle quality and performances

ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 046** 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Good general results are obtained for this cycle of data.

The crossover standard deviation is 7.13 cm rms when using a selection to remove shallow waters (1000 m), areas of high ocean variability and high latitudes (> |50| deg). The standard deviation of Sea Level Anomalies (SLA) relative to the CLS01V1 Mean Sea Surface is 10.6 cm. When using a selection to remove shallow waters (1000 m), areas of high ocean variability and high latitudes (> |50| deg) it lowers to 9.8 cm .

Detailed CALVAL results are presented in section 3.

2.6 Impact of product version "b" (CMA version 7.1) for the SSH calculation

The evolutions having a direct and strong impact on the SSH estimation are described hereafter:

2.6.1 Usage of actual USO clock period

Within the IPF version 5.02, the actual value of Ultra Stable Oscillator clock period is used within the L1b processing instead of the nominal one as it was used in previous IPF versions. This evolution implies a +2.5 cm jump on the Envisat SSH between cycle 40 and 41. To avoid this jump, and correct for the USO drift, users are advised to apply the correction provided by ESA on cycles 9 to 40 ([3]).

2.6.2 Improvement of the SSB correction

The Sea-State bias table has been recomputed (Labroue, 2005 [4])) accounting for the impact of the new orbit and the new geophysical corrections (MOG2D, GOT00 ocean tide correction with the S2 component corrected once only, new wind speed algorithm from Abdalla, 2006). The new SSB correction is shifted in average by +2.0 cm in comparison with the previous one.

2.6.3 New POE orbit solution

New standards are used for the computation of the Envisat Precise Orbit Estimation. One of the main evolutions is the use of the GRACE gravity model EIGEN_CG03C. This new model implies a strong reduction of the geographically correlated radial orbit errors: the systematic differences between ascending and descending passes which were locally higher than 4 cm in South West Pacific and South Atlantic are almost fully removed.

2.6.4 MOG2D correction


In order to take into account the dynamical effects and wind forcing, a new correction is computed from the MOG2D (Carrere and Lyard, 2003) barotropic model forced by pressure (without S1 and S2 constituents) and wind. The use of such a correction in the SSH strongly improves the performances.

3 CALVAL main results

This section presents results that illustrate data quality during this cycle. These verification products are produced operationally so that they allow systematic monitoring of the main relevant parameters.

3.1 Missing measurements

2476186 are present, and 238659 (8.8%) are missing. The maps below illustrate missing 1Hz measurements in the GDRs, with respect to a 1 Hz sampling of a nominal repeat track.



Missing measurements (Ascending passes) Envisat Cycle 046 (13/03/2006 / 17/04/2006)

3 passes (32, 383, 858) is missing due either to LRAC_PDHSs data generation, level1 problems or ingestion pbs on F-PAc side

73 passes (664 to 736) are missing due to an incident on the platform (SM DSL after memory reconfiguration due to SM OBDH anomalies)

3.2 Orbit quality

ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046


3.2.1 Doris and Laser performances


The next table gives statistics on Doris and Laser residuals:

7-day Period	Number of Doris	Number of Laser	RMS of Laser
	measurements	measurements	measurements
			(cm)
13/03/2006 to $20/03/2006$	74390	1530	1.77570
20/03/2006 to $27/03/2006$	74218	1348	1.79900
27/03/2006 to $03/04/2006$	78230	1003	7.08760
03/04/2006 to $10/04/2006$	38383	1655	1.70470
10/04/2006 to $17/04/2006$	17991	1025	1.76890

3.2.2 Impact on SLA


The orbit quality is degraded on pass 411 due to an orbit inclination manoeuvre. Users are strongly advised to use this pass with care. Note that the orbital processing status is set to 8 on passes 408 to 414






The orbit quality is slightly degraded on passes 902 to 1002 due to DORIS Science data missing on passes 864-905. The map of flag orbit shows the orbital processing status on those passes, which is set to 6-8 (extrapolation). The degradation of the quality of these passes is visible on the SLA map (see next page on the top). When these passes are removed from the data set(see next page on the bottom), the obtained result is better. Users are advised to use these passes with care.


Orbital processing status in OFL product Envisat Cycle 046



Sea level Anomaly Envisat / Cycle 046



Sea level Anomaly Envisat / Cycle 046



ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 9

3.3 Edited measurements

3.3.1 Statistics

Data editing is necessary to remove altimeter measurements having lower accuracy.

First, there is an editing using flags. Compared to the GDR product, two additional flags are computed:

An ice flag to detect sea ice measurements. A measurement is set to ice if, at high latitudes (> |50| deg), one of the following criteria is valid:

- Number of 20Hz measurement <17

- |MWR - ECMWF| wet tropospheric correction > 10cm

- Peakiness > 2

A S-band anomaly flag: this flag is set if |Sigma0(Ku)-Sigma0(S)| > 5dB

Notice that this flag is set over land and ice, even when no S-band anomaly occurs.

Parameter	Nb rejected	% rejected
Radiometer land flag	857074	39.21
Ice flag	686179	31.39
S-Band anomaly flag	392451	17.95

Then, measurements are edited using thresholds on several parameters. These thresholds are expected to remain constant throughout the Envisat mission, so that monitoring the number of edited measurements allows a survey of data quality.

The next table gives for each tested parameter, minimum and maximum thresholds, the number and the percentage of points removed.


Parameters	Min	Max	Nb rejected	% rejected
	Thres.	Thres.		
Sea surface height (m)	-130.000	100.000	901	0.07
Variability relative to MSS (m)	-2.000	2.000	6665	0.51
Number of 18Hz valid points	10.000	-	88	0.01
Std. deviation of 18Hz range (m)	0.000	0.250	13781	1.05
Off nadir angle from waveform (deg2)	-0.200	0.160	5066	0.39
Dry tropospheric correction (m)	-2.500	-1.900	0	0.00
MOG2D correction (m)	-2.000	2.000	0	0.00
MWR wet tropospheric correction (m)	-0.500	-0.001	1295	0.10
Dual Ionospheric correction (m)	-0.400	0.040	3722	0.28
Significant wave height (m)	0.000	11.000	926	0.07
Sea state Bias (m)	-0.500	0.000	1829	0.14
Backscatter coefficient (dB)	7.000	30.000	1819	0.14
GOT00 ocean tide height (m)	-5.000	5.000	2522	0.19
Long period tide height (m)	-0.500	0.500	0	0.00
Earth tide (m)	-1.000	1.000	0	0.00
Pole tide (m)	-5.000	5.000	0	0.00
RA2 wind speed (m/s)	0.000	30.000	0	0.00


A final editing is then performed on corrected sea surface height, using a spline fitting procedure, leading to remove 475 (0.04~%) measurements.

ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 10

3.3.2 Figures

The following maps are complementary: they show respectively the removed and selected measurements in the editing procedure.





ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 046** 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 11

3.3.3 Comments

926 passes have been delivered. Among these passes:


- 6 passes are entirely edited on the radiometer land flag (no MWR correction)

- 27 passes (298-309;425-437;980-981) are edited because of S-Band anomalies. Users are advised not to use the S-Band parameters and the dual ionospheric correction on these passes.

Wet areas appear in the plot of removed data. Similar features are observed with other altimeters (T/P, Jason) mainly due to rain comtamination.

3.4 Altimeter parameters


In order to assess and to monitor altimeter parameter measurements, histograms of Envisat Kuband Significant Wave Height (SWH), Backscatter coefficient (Sigma0) and RMS of altimeter range are computed.



ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 13

3.5 Radiometer


In order to assess and to monitor radiometer measurements, a scatter plot between the radiometer wet troposphere correction and the ECMWF model is computed for the valid data set previously defined.




The radiometer-model mean difference is 0.4 cm. A drift on the Envisat 23.8GHz brightness temperature has been detected and has to be monitored on the long term. Note that the neural algorithm is now implemented on Envisat.

3.6 Wind and wave maps

These two figures show wind and wave estimations derived from 35 days of altimeter measurements.





ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 15

3.7 Crossover statistics


3.7.1 General comment

SSH crossover statistics are computed from the valid data set. They are used to estimate the data quality and to monitor the system performances. After data editing and using the standard Envisat algorithms, the crossover standard deviation is about 7.84 cm rms, when using a selection to remove shallow waters (1000 m). When using an additional selection to remove areas of high ocean variability and high latitudes (> |50| deg) it lowers to 7.13 cm rms. This statistic is a stable estimation of the system performance as it is not influenced by sea ice coverage.

3.7.2 Impact of geophysical corrections

This figure shows the impact of geophysical corrections on crossover mean and rms. A selection is used to remove shallow waters (1000 m).

For this analysis two corrections have been computed: a long wave length and a model ionospheric correction. The long wave length estimation is performed by a global minimization of crossover differences using a (1 and 2 cycles/revolution) sinusoidal model. The model ionospheric correction is computed using the JPL's version of the GPS Ionosphere Maps (JPL GIM) thanks to the procedures provided by Remko Scharro (internet communication to the CCVT community, December 12, 2002).




ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report Cycle 046 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 17

3.8 SSH variability


3.8.1 Sea Level Anomaly

Repeat-track analysis is routinely used to compute Sea Level Anomalies (SLA) relative to the previous cycle and relative to a mean profile. The mean profile has been computed using ERS-1 and ERS-2 data and has been ajusted on the 7 year TP mean profile. In order to see fine features SLA are centered about the mean value.

Envisat Cycle 046 13/03/2006 – 17/04/2006



Envisat Cycle 046 13/03/2006 – 17/04/2006



3.8.2 Comparison to a precise Mean Sea Surface

The MSS from the product is used as a reference to compute SLA. Global statistics of Envisat SSH-MSS are (cm):


Number	Mean	Std. dev.
1463813	48.07	10.56

When using a selection to remove shallow waters (1000 m), areas of high ocean variability and high latitudes (> |50| deg) statistics are:


Number	Mean	Std. dev.
870032.00000000	48.59	9.75

The two following maps respectively show the map of Envisat SLA relative to the MSS and differences higher than a 30 cm threshold. In order to see fine features SLA are centered about the mean value. The latter figure shows that apart from isolated measurements, higher differences are located in high ocean variability areas, as expected.

Envisat Cycle 046 13/03/2006 – 17/04/2006



(SSH – MSS) centered, differences greater than 30 cm Envisat / Cycle 046




4 Envisat long term performance monitoring

Statistics of SSH variability are computed after crossover and repeat-track analyses. This allows to estimate how Envisat data fulfill the mission objectives in terms of performances.

4.1 Standard deviation of the differences at crossovers

This parameter is plotted as a function of time in a one cycle per cycle basis in the figure below. It is computed after data editing and using 2 aditing selection criteria:


- Selecting crossover differences lower than 30 cm to avoid contamination by remaining spurious data.
- Removing shallow waters (1000 m), areas of high ocean variability and high latitudes (> |50| deg.) to avoid ice coverage effects.



Crossover standard deviation

4.2 RMS of Sea Level Anomaly

Sea Level Anomalies relative to a mean profile are computed using repeat-track analysis for each Envisat cycle. To monitor Envisat performances and ocean signals, the cycle per cycle standard deviation of the SLA is plotted as a function of time.



Standard deviation of Sea Level Anomalies

4.3 Mean Sea Level


MSL estimations are performed on a cycle basis averaging Sea Level Anomalies relative to a mean profile.

The SSH is computed using:

- the ECMWF model wet troposphere correction in order to remove the effect of the drift of the 36.5 GHz Brightness Temperature
- the correction provided by ESA to correct the range from the USO drift and bias ([3]) for cycle 9 to 40
- the Labroue (2005 [4]) sea state bias for all cycles

The value for each cycle is calculated from averaging over 2 by 3 degree bins, then weighting by latitude to take into account the relative geographical area represented by the bin. Results plotted on the following figure is obtained after annual and semi-annual signals reduction.

During the first year (cycles 10 to 20) Envisat MSL global trend is not consistent to other flying satellites. This unexplained behavior is under investigation. The following figure shows the MSL global trend from cycle 20 onwards.




ENVISAT GDR Quality Assessment Report **Cycle 046** 13-03-2006 17-04-2006 SALP-RP-P2-EX-21072-CLS046 Page 24

5 Particular investigations

For an unknown reason, a change of behaviour of the Ultra Stable Oscillator (USO) clock frequency occured in February 2006. Cycle 46 is almost entirely affected by this anomaly: passes 1 to 568 and passes 737 to 1002. The quality assessement of these data has been done using the USO temporary correction provided by ESA. Users are strongly advised not to use the range parameter in Ku and S Band without this correction. More information is available on http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/ra2/auxdata/.

The data are globally well corrected using the provided correction. However, the quality of the corrected is degraded during the recovery from the USO anomaly on pass 568. On this opass, the USO anomaly disappears suddenly at the beginning of the pass and then comes back about 1000s after

The USO anomaly finally disappears on pass 569. These transitions are very straight and the USO correction does not work properly on those two passes (see figure below). The quality of these 2 passes is degraded. Users are advised to use these passes with care. Note that the USO anomaly comes back on pass 737.



Passes 568 and 569

References

- [1] Abdalla, S., "A wind retrieval algorithm for satellite radar altimeters", ECMWF Technical Memorandum, in preparation, 2006.
- [2] EOO/EOX, October 2005, Information to the Users regarding the Envisat RA2/MWR IPF version 5.02 and CMA 7.1 Available at http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/ra2/articles/
- [3] Martini A., 2003: Envisat RA-2 Range instrumental correction : USO clock period variation and associated auxiliary file, Technical Note ENVI-GSEG-EOPG-TN-03-0009 Available at http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/ra2/articles/USO_clock_corr_aux_file.pdf http://earth.esa.int/pcs/envisat/ra2/auxdata/
- [4] Labroue S., 2005: RA2 ocean and MWR measurement long term monitoring 2005 report for WP3, Task 2 SSB estimation for RA2 altimeter, Technical Note CLS-DOS-NT-05-200